# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Tradsmark Office
Machington, D.C. A231

WWw.uspington, D.C. A231

Www.uspington, D.C. A231



70	DATE MAILED: 03/13/200				
	1882				
РАРЕК ИЈМВЕК	TINU TAA		C0007	אר יאור	TONIHSAW
МАНОИЕУ, СНВІЗТОРНЕВ Е				el' nm	1300 I STRE DONNER LI
ЕХУМІЛЕК		FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			
			03/13/2005	06\$7	22852
ESTL	05860.0599	томоркі тамика	8661/20/01		\$16,231/60
СОИЕЛИМАТІОИ ИО.	АТТОКИЕУ ВОСКЕТ ИО	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE		АРРЫСАТІОИ ИО.
Tree Tree Tree Tree Tree Tree Tree Tree	SSUS . O.O. (nongrinterW			-12	1 WY SALELLE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Applicant(s) 215,2315							
Examiner  Christopher E Mahoney  Art Unit  Christopher E Mahoney							
on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	o steadge notinumunication of this communication appears of Period for Reply						
TO EXPIRE Three MONTH(S) FROM	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMINICATION						
R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed a teply within the street	extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the applications of 37 CFI						
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or expectation and the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
7	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 9, 200.						
Jenit-non si n	Sa) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b> Sb) ☒ This actio						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
	4) X Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-21						
Of the above, claim(s)							
5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
is/are allowed.	6) X Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-21						
is/are rejectedis/are objected to	(0),,,,,,,,						
are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	SmislO [\(\begin{array}{c} \text{S} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\						
JUBIUB HODOO TO GOVE TO A	Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
jected to by the Examiner.	10) The drawing(s) filed on						
is: a) approved b) disapproved.	The proposed drawing correction filed on The Oath or declaration is objected in The Oath or declaration in The Oath or declaration is objected in The Oath or declaration in The Oath or declaration is objected in The Oath or declaration in The Oath or decl						
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	13)以 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorita						
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have be						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received is Appliant							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified segments.							
ify under 3E U.S.C. & 1100.	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior						
	Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)						
Interview Summary (PTO-413) Peper No(s).  Notice of Informal Perent Application (PTO-152)	[] (61 [01] Notice of Draftsperson's Perent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
Other:	17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449) Paper Mo(s).						

Art Unit: 2851

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Parulski et al. (EP0860980). Parulski teaches a camera comprising image pick up means for receiving light to form an image of a subject, print information producing means for producing print information for printing the image including the number of prints, and transmitting means for transmitting the print information of the image including the number of prints. The applicant is directed to review figures 1A, 1B, and 2 as well as the abstract, page 3, lines 17, 20, 32-36, 39-46, page 3, line 58 through page 4, line 4 and page 4, line 11.

Art Unit: 2851

4. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

5. Applicant should further note that Parulski et al. (EP0860980) claims priority from a currently pending US application and the priority dates listed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4-5 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parulski et al. (EP0860980) in view of Saegusa (U.S. Pat. No. 5,799,217). Parulski teaches the salient features of the claimed invention except for a battery check device. Saegusa teaches a battery check device for a camera which determines the battery power and functional limits based on the battery exhaustion, which then displays the information accordingly. The applicant is directed to review the abstract as well as figures 1 and 3-6. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to include the features taught by Saegusa for the purpose of accurately assess battery function and warning the operator.

Art Unit: 2851

8. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parulski et al. (EP0860980) in view of Friend et al (<u>Understanding Data Communications</u>). Parulski teaches the salient features of the claimed invention except for a explicitly stating that it acknowledges proper receipt of information. Friend teaches that it was known to receive receipt information especially when there is an error in communication. This is discussed on page 177 of Friend. If the applicant wishes, additional pages of this text can be supplied for the detailed descriptions of the topics touched upon on page 177. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to include the features taught by Friend for the purpose of error checking. The examiner notes that modem software, which has been in existence for decades, sends and receives receipt acknowledgments as well as notifying the user of such acknowledgments.

9. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parulski et al. (EP0860980) in view of Kaihatsu (U.S. Pat. No. 5,898,386). Parulski teaches the salient features of the claimed invention except for a transmitter ID signal transmission. Kaihatsu teaches in the abstract as well as figures 11 and 12 that it was known to transmit transmitter ID. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to include the features taught by Kaihatsu for the purpose of proper tracking and identification.

Art Unit: 2851

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 and 17-21 have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Christopher Mahoney at telephone number (703) 305-3475. The

examiner can normally be reached 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM Monday through Thursday. If attempts

to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams, can

be reached at (703) 308-2847. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-34[31,32]. Any

inquiry of a general nature or related to the status of this application should be directed to the

Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

CM March 10, 2002

Christopher E. Mah ney Primary Examiner AU2851